

**Meeting: Executive**

Portfolio Area: Resources

**Date: 15 February 2006**

**THE PRUDENTIAL CODE INDICATORS AND**

**TREASURY MANAGEMENT STRATEGY**

Chief Executive's Department

**KEY DECISION**

Author – C Jewsbury Ext.No. 2351  
Contributors – P Shawcroft Ext No 2430  
Lead Officer – Scott Crudgington Ext.No. 2185  
Contact Officer – C Jewsbury Ext.No. 2351

**1 PURPOSE**

To approve the Prudential Indicators for 2006/07.

**2 RECOMMENDATIONS**

- 2.1 That the Executive proposes the adoption of the Prudential Code Indicators as detailed in Appendix A
- 2.2 That the Executive proposes the adoption of the 2006/07 Treasury Management Strategy.

**3 PRUDENTIAL CODE**

3.1 Prudential Code

- 3.1.1 The Local Government Act 2003 required that from April 2004 Councils must 'have regard to' the Prudential Code and set Prudential Indicators for the next 3 years to ensure that capital investment plans are affordable, prudent and sustainable. The legislation abolished Credit Approvals which had previously controlled Councils' borrowing, in favour of a system in which Affordable Borrowing Limits are to be determined with regard to the code of practice published by CIPFA 'The Prudential Code for Capital Finance in Local Authorities'.
- 3.1.2 The Prudential Code requires the Council to set a range of Prudential Indicators. A schedule of Prudential Code Indicators with explanatory notes is attached at Appendix A. Those indicators to which regard should be given when determining the Council's treasury management strategy for the next 3 financial years are denoted by an asterix(\*).
- 3.1.3 The Prudential Code introduced new requirements for the manner in which capital spending plans are to be considered and approved and in conjunction with this, the development of an integrated treasury management strategy.

## 3.2 Treasury Management Strategy

3.2.1 Various borrowing limits have to be approved before the start of each financial year.

3.2.2 As the Council has had debt free status since March 2001, only short term borrowing for cash flow management purposes is currently undertaken and, therefore, the overall and short term limits are identical. In addition, the variable interest proportion was set at 100% - short-term borrowing is likely to be minimal but could consist of only one loan - at variable or fixed rate depending on the availability of funds in the money market.

3.2.3 The limits set for 2006/07 (unchanged from 2005/06) are:-

Overall Borrowing Limit - £15million  
Short Term Borrowing Limit - £15 million  
Variable Interest Proportion Limit - 100%

The Act requires the Council to set out its Treasury Management Strategy and to prepare an Annual Investment Strategy which sets out the Council's policies for managing its investments and for giving priority to the security and liquidity of those investments.

The Treasury Management Strategy includes the Annual Investment Strategy at paragraph 4.6.

Appendix B details the various instruments comprising Specified Investments (maturities up to 1 year) and Non-Specified Investments (maturities 1 year and above).

## **4 REASONS FOR RECOMMENDED COURSE OF ACTION AND OTHER OPTIONS**

4.1 Key objectives of the Prudential Code are :-

- To ensure that capital investment plans are affordable, prudent and sustainable.
- To ensure treasury management decisions accord with good professional practice and in a manner that supports affordability, prudence and sustainability.
- To be consistent with and support local strategic planning, local asset management planning and option appraisal.

4.1.2 To demonstrate the achievement of these objectives the Prudential Code sets out indicators that must be used and the factors that must be taken into account. The code does not suggest limits or ratios as these are for the authority to determine.

The regulations do not cover Investments other than to require authorities to have regard to the CIPFA Treasury Management Code.

4.1.3 The prudential indicators are designed to support and record local decision making. They are not intended to be comparative performance indicators and their use in this way could be misleading. In particular, authorities will have widely different debt positions at the start of the Prudential system and the exercise of local choice could increase these differences.

4.1.4 The Act refers to affordability only, whereas the Code refers to affordability and prudence. The two are related concepts. When making a decision to invest in capital assets an authority must not only determine whether it can afford the immediate cost. To ensure long-term affordability the decisions have also to be prudent and in the long term sustainable. Therefore in carrying out their duties in respect of affordability an authority is required to have regard to all the aspects of the Code that relate to affordability, sustainability and prudence. The code also requires an authority to have regard to wider management processes (option appraisal, asset management planning, strategic planning and achievability) in accordance with good professional practice.

4.1.5 The Code promotes transparency by using information in the published statements of accounts.

4.1.6 The authority should have a treasury management strategy within which borrowing and investments are managed in accordance with good professional practice.

## 4.2 Governance

4.2.1 The Code sets out the procedure for setting and revising prudential indicators. The body that decides the authority's budget ie full Council will do this. The Chief Financial Officer is responsible for reporting and monitoring.

4.2.2 Indicators for previous years will be taken directly from information in the statement of accounts. If any relevant item is subject to audit qualification this must be highlighted when the indicators are set/revised.

4.2.3 Indicators for the forthcoming and following years must be set before the beginning of the forthcoming year. They may be revised at any time, following due process, and must be reviewed for the current year when the prudential indicators are set for the following year.

4.2.4 Prudential indicators for treasury management should be considered along with the treasury management strategy.

## 4.3 Affordability

4.3.1 The objective here is to ensure that total capital investment remains within sustainable limits and, in particular, to consider the impact on Council Tax.

4.3.2 In considering the affordability of its capital plans, the authority is required to consider all of the resources available, together with the totality of its capital plans, revenue income and expenditure forecasts for the forthcoming year and the following two years, plus known significant variations beyond this timeframe. This necessitates as a minimum three-year capital, revenue and Council Tax forecasts, although this Council forecasts on a five year timeframe.

4.3.3 Affordability considerations should include risk and uncertainty, and risk analysis and risk management strategies should be taken into account.  
The affordability indicators include:-

- capital expenditure
- the ratio of financing costs to net revenue stream.
- capital financing requirements (need to borrow)

- the incremental impact of capital investment decisions on the Council Tax
- the authorised limit for external debt
- the operational limit for external debt
- actual external debt

4.3.4 Both the authorised and operational limits for external debt need to be consistent with the plans for capital expenditure and accounting, and with the treasury management policy and practices. The operational limit should be based on a prudent but not worst case scenario, whilst the authorised limit can include headroom over and above the authorised limit, sufficient for example for unusual cash flow movements.

#### 4.4 Prudence and Sustainability

4.4.1 As noted earlier, prudential indicators in respect of external debt must take account of affordability. Through this means the objectives of prudence and sustainability are addressed year on year.

4.4.2 To ensure that over the medium term net borrowing is only for capital purposes, an authority must ensure that, except in the short term, net external borrowing does not exceed the total capital financing requirements of the previous year's actual plus current and next 2 years estimates.

4.4.3 Prudence also includes that treasury management is carried out in accordance with good professional practice. The relevant indicators are:-

- Net external borrowing not to exceed the preceding years capital financing requirement plus current and next year's estimated capital financing requirement
- compliance with the CIPFA Code of Practice for Treasury Management
- upper limits on fixed interest rate and variable interest rate exposures
- upper and lower limits for the maturity structure of borrowings
- upper limit for principal sums invested for longer than 364 days

#### 4.5 Prospect for Interest Rates

The base rate at the start of the financial year was 4.75% reducing to 4.5% in August, It is anticipated that rates will stay fairly constant during the forthcoming year, with the possibility of a cut to 4.25% or 4.00% before a return to 4.25%. Current investment rates are as below.

<b>One week</b>	<b>4.51 %</b>
<b>One month</b>	<b>4.51%</b>
<b>Three months</b>	<b>4.52%</b>
<b>Six months</b>	<b>4.54%</b>
<b>One Year</b>	<b>4.59%</b>

The Council has budgeted for an average return on investments for 2006/07 of 4.6%

#### 4.5.1 Investment Strategy

In May 1999, £20m was placed with an external fund manager. At 31 December 2005, the fund value including reinvested interest was £27.1m. The average interest rate to date this year is 4.78%. A return on the investment of 4.5% has been forecast for 2006/07.

The balance of the investments are managed in-house. The principal consideration when undertaking an investment in-house is the Council's cash flow requirements, ie investments are scheduled to mature on a date when it is known large cash flows will occur. When surplus cash becomes available for investment, it is used to maintain a balanced investment portfolio in terms of durations in order to smooth out short-term movements in base rates. Due to the transitional arrangements in place for the first three years, it is not expected that the pooling of capital receipts will have a significant effect on the funds available for investment in the short term.

A list of banks and building societies, which currently meet our credit worthiness, is attached at Appendix B.

A list of the types of instruments comprising Specified and Non-Specified Investments is attached at Appendix C.

As at the end January 2006 the total of in house investments was £22.65m. Average return to date this year being 4.79%

The total fund, that is external and internal at the end of December was £46.6m, giving an average return of 4.78% this year.

#### 4.7 Borrowing Strategy

It is not anticipated that there will be any capital borrowings during 2006/07. The ALMO process would involve borrowing subject to approval by Central Government and achievement of a 2\* housing inspection rating.

##### 4.7.1 Summary – Treasury Management Strategy

- a) That the Prudential Indicators are adopted and kept under review.
- b) That surplus funds are invested according to Treasury Policy
- c) That the use of the external fund manager is kept under review.

## **5 IMPLICATIONS**

### **5.1 Financial Implications**

The report is of a financial nature and outlines the Prudential Code Indicators and the principals under which the Treasury Management functions are managed.

## **5.2 Legal Implications**

None

## **5.3 Policy Implications**

The proposed limits are in line with current policy.

## **BACKGROUND DOCUMENTS**

- Statutory Instrument 2003 No 3146 The Local Authorities (Capital Finance and Accounting) (England) Regulations 2003
- CIPFA Prudential Code for Capital Finance in Local Authorities
- Sector Treasury Management Documents

## **APPENDICES**

- Appendix A - Prudential Code Indicators
- Appendix B - Lending List
- Appendix C - Specified and Non-Specified Investments

**APPENDIX A**

**PRUDENTIAL CODE INDICATORS**

1. The actual capital expenditure that was incurred in 2004/05 and the estimates of capital expenditure for the current and future years that are recommended for approval are:

	2004/05 Actual £000's	2005/06 Probable £000's	2006/07 Estimate £000's	2007/08 Estimate £000's	2008/09 Estimate £000's
General Fund	11,735	25,394	9,426	9,724	5,867
HRA	7,565	18,015	6,562	6,562	6,562
<b>Total</b>	<b>19,300</b>	<b>43,409</b>	<b>15,988</b>	<b>16,286</b>	<b>12,429</b>

2. Estimates of the ratio of financing costs to net revenue stream for the current and future years, and the actual figures for 2004/05 are:-

	2004/05 Actual %	2005/06 Probable %	2006/07 Estimate %	2007/08 Estimate %	2008/09 Estimate %
Financing Costs re. General Fund Capital Exp	7.50	12.49	16.31	15.95	15.61
HRA Capital Exp	3.55	2.37	3.76	4.08	4.08

3. Estimated capital financing requirement for the current and future years and the actual capital financing requirement at 31<sup>st</sup> March 2005 are:

	31/03/05 Actual £000's	31/03/06 Probable £000's	31/03/07 Estimate £000's	31/03/08 Estimate £000's	31/03/09 Estimate £000's
	-4,303	-5,000	-5,000	-5,000	-5,000

4. The estimated incremental impact of capital expenditure proposals on Band D Council Tax is as follows:

	2005/06	2006/07	2007/08
	£	£	£
	36	8	3

## PRUDENTIAL CODE INDICATORS

5. In order to ensure that over the medium term net borrowing will only be for capital purposes, net external borrowing should not exceed the total of capital financing requirements in the preceding year plus estimated current and next 2 years requirement.

6. \* Authorised limit for external debt:

	2004/05 £000's	2005/06 £000's	2006/07 £000's	2007/08 £000's	2008/09 £000's
Borrowing	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000
Other Long Term Liabilities	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil

7. \* The Code also requires an Operational Limit to be set which can be less than the Authorised Limit. However, in this Council's circumstances it is recommended that the Operation Limit equates to the Authorised Limit.

8. \* The Council has adopted the CIPFA Code of Practice for Treasury Management and manages its treasury position in terms of borrowings and investments in accordance with the code.

9. \* Upper limit of variable interest rate exposure as a percentage of total debt:

	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

10. \* Short term borrowing limit:

	2004/05 £000's	2005/06 £000's	2006/07 £000's	2007/08 £000's	2008/09 £000's
	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000

11. \* Interest Rate Exposure

Fixed Interest Rate This is defined as the maximum principal sums outstanding at fixed rates, less the principal sums outstanding in respect of investments that are fixed rate investments. As the Council is debt free, this is a negative figure. As an external fund manager is employed, it is felt that more meaningful figures are obtained if the internal and external funds are shown separately.



**PRUDENTIAL CODE INDICATORS**

	2005/06 £000's	2006/07 £000's	2007/08 £000's	2008/09 £000's
SBC	-25,000	-27,000	-27,000	-27,000
Fund Manager	-29,000	-31,000	-32,500	-34,000

Variable Interest Rate This is defined as above, but substituting 'variable rates' for 'fixed rates'

	2005/06 £000's	2006/07 £000's	2007/08 £000's	2008/09 £000's
SBC	-10,000	-10,000	-10,000	-10,000
Fund Manager	-29,000	-31,000	-32,500	-34,000

12. \* Maturity Structure of Borrowing

As the Council is debt free, this indicator does not apply.

13 \* Total principal sums invested for periods longer than 364 days.

	2005/06 £000's	2006/07 £000's	2007/08 £000's	2008/09 £000's
SBC	7,000	12,000	15,000	15,000
Fund Manager	29,000	31,000	32,500	34,000

- \* denotes indicators which form part of the Treasury Management Strategy