Appendix J - Full Equality Impact Assessment

For a policy, project, service or other decision that is new, changing or under review

)							
What is k	being assessed?		Impact of FINANCIAL SECURITY OPTIONS (2017/18) on the workforce profile				
Lead Assessor	Senior Human Resource Manager			Assessment team	Clare Davies Sue Vanneck		
Start date	November 2016	End date	March 2018		Kirsten Frew		
When will the EqIA beOrreviewed?fol			eview as n commences and nplementation of				

Who may be affected by it?	Early indications from the proposals for the Budget 2017/18 are that there may be in the region of 4 redundancies. The proposals require further investigation as more information becomes available and will continue to consider the impact on the equality profile of and diversity within the workforce.
What are the key aims of it?	Since 2014 Stevenage Borough Council's has taken priority based approach to budgeting (PBB) to achieving the necessary corporate savings and there are now further proposals for 2017/18. The savings will be made through service related savings and staff related expenditure, comprising of organisational restructures and potential redundancies.
	There are policies in place to support staff through these periods of change including a redundancy and redeployment policy. This helps to ensure that there are clear procedures in place for staff and training is being provided to managers and appropriate staff. Each of these policies has had an EqIA.
	 The purpose of this EqIA is to identify the joint impact on the workforce profile of: Staff affected by the savings proposals for 2017/18 Impact of the proposed savings for 2017/18 on the workforce profile.
	This is intended to guide decision making in considering the savings proposals over the next three years. The Council values diversity in its workforce. We recognise that the composition, skills, understanding and commitment of our workforce adds to our ability to deliver responsive, personalised



services to our equally diverse community.

The Council is committed to supporting all staff that are affected by change, in the first instance through their line managers and HR&OD. Staff can take advice from their trade union representative who may accompany them to meetings. In addition, further support is also available to staff in the form of our Employee Assistance Programme (Optum) and Outplacement support for those staff impacted by redundancy.

What positive measures are in place (if any) to help fulfil our legislative duties to:									
Remove discrimination & harassment	A Redundancy Policy –to ensure fair and non-discriminatory selection methods. A Redeployment Policy to ensure there is a streamlined procedure for identifying suitable alternative employment wherever possible.	Promote equal opportunities	Redeployment opportunities are considered for all staff at risk of redundancy.	Encourage good relations	Consultation with Trade Unions and staff on the proposals.				

What sources of data / information are you using to	Workforce profile data (correct as at November 2016), broken down by protected characteristics including: age, gender, religion, and full time/part time working, ethnicity, disability, sexual orientation and pay grade.
inform your assessment?	Where possible and appropriate, comparisons of the workforce profile are made with the make-up of the local community (Census 2011).
	Profile information for staff potentially at risk of redundancy.
	NB: Where there are less than 10 individuals per protected characteristic, the numbers will be starred out, to protect individual's personal information.

In assessing the potential	This will be a working document that will need to be reviewed at regular intervals to consider the
impact on people, are there	impact of the proposed changes as more information becomes available.
any overall comments that	
you would like to make?	



Evidence and impact assessment

Explain the potential impact and opportunities it could have for people in terms of the following characteristics, where applicable:

					Age	;			
Positive impact	In line with our policies we will aim to redeploy staff wherever possible to retain skills and experience		Negative impact		There is potential to lose older employees with the additional opportunity to request Voluntary redundancy as redundancy pay increases with length of service		Unequal impact		
Please									
evidence the data and information you		SBC Headcount	Percentage	% of pro at risk	ofile % of Stevenage Community				
used to support	Under 25 (16-24)	25	4.00%	*		11.8%			
this	25-29	51	8.16%	*		7.3%			
assessment	30-34	65	10.40%	*					
	35-39	49	7.84%	*		21.2%			
	40-44	56	8.96%	*					
	45-49	92	14.72%	*					
	50-54	112	17.92%	*		20.3%			
	55-59	100	16.00%	*					
	60-64	64	10.24%	*		4.9%			
	65 and over	11	1.76%	*		14.1%			
	Total	625	100.00%	100.00	%				
	between 25-4	44 & 45-54	when compa	ared wit	h the	e local com	munity. H	esentation across th owever, Stevenage over" when compa	Borough Council



Appendix J

	commu	community.							
		omparisons for 16-25's can be misleading as many residents in this age range seek education and training well as employment.							
	attribute older. To 12.2% c	With regards to the age range 65+, comparisons for 65 and over can be misleading as health factors attributed to age may impact on a person's ability to work. Many people may also not want to work as they get older. To provide a point of comparison, in the East of England between September and November 2013, 12.2% of people over 65 were in employment (Office for National Statistics).							
	will have	e any significantly impact							
What opportuniti	es are	We will look to retain employees	What do you still need	We need to continue to keep the					
there to promote		in line with the Redeployment	to find out? Include in	potential impact under review, as					
equality and inclu	usion?	Policy wherever it is possible to identify suitable alternative employment.	actions (last page)	further detail is known.					

	Disability e.g. physical impairment, mental ill health, learning difficulties, long-standing illness								
Positive impact	We will consider and make reasonable adjustments to supp disabled staff with be selection process an appointment into available suitable alternative employment opportunities	ort oth	ative impact			Unequal impact			
Please evidence the									
data and information you	H	SBC leadcount	2016 SBC Percentage	% Profile of staff at risk					



			00.000 <i>/</i>	*		
used to support	No	562	89.92%	*		
this	Not stated	13	2.08%	*		
assessment	Prefer not to say	8	1.28%	*		
	Yes	42	6.72%	*		
	Total	625	100.00%	100.00%		
	have self-declare	d themselve h the local c	s as disabled	are going to 5% of resider	be disproport	determine whether employees who ionately impacted by the proposals. 64) have declared themselves as having iving a disability.
What opportunities are there to promote equality and inclusion?		nd other org th identifying ate reasona	o work with a ganisations to g and funding ble s Access to	to find out?	ou still need ? Include in st page)	We need to continue to keep the potential impact under review, as further detail is known.

Gender reassignment								
Positive impact n/a	Negative impact	n/a	Unequal impact	n/a				
Please evidence the data and information There is insufficient data to analyse the workforce profile in relation to gender								
you used to support this assessment	reassignment a	nd possible impact.						
What opportunities are there to		What do you still need to	o find out?					
promote equality and inclusion?		Include in actions (last page)						

Marriage or civil partnership							
Positive impact Unequal impact							
Please evidence the data and informatio	ר –						
you used to support this assessment			2016 SBC	% Profile at			
		Headcount	Percentage	risk			
	Civil Partnership	5	0.80%	*			



			1			
	Divor	ced	22	3.52%	*	
	Enga	ged	2	0.32%	*	
	Living	with Partner	35	5.60%	*	
	Marrie	ed	334	53.44%	*	
	Not S	tated	21	3.36%	*	
	Prefe	r not to say	4	0.64%	*	
	Sepa	rated	9	1.44%	*	
	Single	9	187	29.92%	*	
	Wido	wed	6	0.96%	*	
	Total		625	100.00%	100.00%	
	As de	monstrated in	the table it	t is not vet no	ssible to det	ermine whether there
		be any dispro				
What appartunities are there to	Would				ind out?	
What opportunities are there to				still need to f		
promote equality and inclusion?		In	iclude in ac	tions (last pa	ge)	

			Pregnancy &	maternity			
Positive impact	redeployee's will leave when the	no are on a pe ir post is being ted absence w	s priority status to those priod of maternity/adoption made redundant. vill not form any part of	Negative impact		Unequal impact	
	vidence the data on you used to su ent		At this point in time we are unaware of any employees impacted by the proposals may be pregnant and will subsequently be on maternity leave.				
What opportunities are there to promote equality and inclusion?		What do you still need to find out? Include in actions (last page)					



				R	ace		
Positive impact			Negativ	e impact			Unequal impact
Please evidence the data and information you used to support this assessment	BME		2016 Headcount 53	2016 Percentage 8.48%	% profile at risk *		
		stated	21	3.36%	*		
		er Background	23	3.68%	*	_	
		er not to say	5	0.80%	*	_	
		e - British	523	83.68%			
	Tota		625	100.00%	100.00%		
	12.1		is lower that				ople from a BME or other background of pulation of Stevenage at 16.9%
What opportunities	are	A Recruitme	ent and Sele	ction	What do you still need		We need to consider how the
there to promote		Policy is in p	place, to pro	ace, to promote		nclude in	proposals may impact upon this profile,
equality and inclusion	on?	equality.			actions (last page)		once further detail is known.

	Religion or belief							
Positive impact		Negativ	/e impact		Unequal impact			
Please evidence					1			
the data and information you used to support this assessment		2016 SBC	2016 SBC	% of profile				
uns assessment		Headcount	Percentage	at risk				
	Buddhist	*	*	*				



	1						
	Christian	318	50.88%	*			
	Hindu	*	*	*			
	Jewish	*	*	*			
	Muslim	*	*	*			
	No Religion	196	31.36%	*			
	Not stated	51	8.16%	*			
	Other	*	*	*			
	Prefer not to say	32	5.12%	*			
	Sikh	*	*	*			
	Total	625	100.00%	100.00%			
	Due to the small	numbers in (each of the o	categories it is r	not possib	le to fully assess the	potential impact of
	the proposals. T						·
	Religion			% of Steve			
	Christian			54.4			
	Buddist			0.5			
	Hindu			1.2			
	Jewish			0.2	0.2		
	Muslim			2.0			
	Sikh			0.4			
	Other			0.5			
	No religion			34.1			
	Not stated			6.7			
What opportunities a				What do you s	still need	We need to conside	r how the savings
there to promote				to find out? Inc		proposals may impa	•
equality and inclusion	n?			actions (last pa		once further detail is	
equality and inclusion	11:			actions (last p	aye)		NIOWII.

		Sex		
Positive impact	In line with our policies we will aim to redeploy staff wherever possible to retain skills and		Unequal impact	



	•	nce regardless									
Please evidence the data and	of sex.										
information you used to support this assessment			2016 Headcount	2016 Percentage	% of profile at risk						
	Fem	ale	327	52.32%	*						
1113 03363311611	Male)	298	47.68%	*						
	Tota	I	625	100.00%	100.00%						
	the p Steve reflec	roposals. This enage Borougl	s will be ke h Council d	pt under rev	riew as the pro	posals dev ger percer	velop.	e potential impact of bloyees and this is d 50.6% of residents			
What opportunitie	es are				What do you		We need to consid	der how the			
there to promote					to find out? In	iclude in		pact upon this profile,			
equality and inclu	ision?				actions (last p	bage)	once further detai	l is known.			

	Sexual orientation e.g. straight, lesbian / gay, bisexual								
Positive impact		Negativ	/e impact		Unequal impact				
Please evidence the data and information you used to support this assessment		2016 Headcount	2016 Percentage	% of profile at risk					
	Bisexual	2	0.32%	*					
	Gay Man	1	0.16%	*					
	Heterosexual	551	88.16%	*					
	Lesbian	4	0.64%	*					



	Not Stated	47	7.52%	*					
	Prefer not to say	/ 20	3.20%	*					
	Total	625	100.00%	100.00%					
	the proposals. No data was g	Due to the small numbers in each of the categories it is not possible to fully assess the potential impact of he proposals. This will be kept under review as the proposals develop. No data was gathered in the Census 2011 about the local community's sexual orientation.							
What opportunities a	are Encoura	ge staff to self-		What do you s		We need to consider how the			
there to promote				to find out? In		proposals may impact upon this profile,			
equality and inclusio	n?			actions (last p	age)	once further detail is known.			

e.g. low inco	Socio-economic ¹ e.g. low income, unemployed, homelessness, caring responsibilities, access to internet, public transport users									
Positive impact	St Co W Re ba	evenage Borough ouncil is a Living age Employer. edundancy pay is sed on contractual by and exceeds the atutory minimum.	Negative			,			equal impact	
Please evidence the data and information you used to support this assessment		Apprentice Grade 1 Grade 2		2016 SE Headcou 10 28 65		2016 SBC Percentage 83.33% 233.33% 541.67%	% of pro at ris * * *			
		Grade 3 Grade 4 Grade 5		105 84 75		875.00% 700.00% 625.00%	*		-	

¹Although non-statutory, the council has chosen to implement the Socio-Economic Duty and so decision-makers should use their discretion to consider the impact on people with a socio-economic disadvantage. All figures quoted are rounded to two decimal places



				*					
	rade 6	101	841.67%						
Gr	rade 7	42	350.00%	*					
Gr	rade 8	32	266.67%	*					
Gr	rade 9	15	125.00%	*					
Gr	rade 10	24	200.00%	*					
Gr	rade 11	16	133.33%	*					
Gr	rade 12	16	133.33%	*					
Ho	os Grade 1	7	58.33%	*					
As	ssistant Director	2	16.67%	*					
Di	rector	2	16.67%	*					
Cł	nief Exec	1	8.33%	*					
Тс	otal	12	100.00%	100.00%					
	Due to the small numbers in each of the categories it is not possible to fully assess the potential impact of the proposals. This will be kept under review as the proposals develop.								
What opportunities are			What do you still n	need W	e need to consider how the				
there to promote			to find out? Include		oposals may impact upon this profile,				
equality and inclusion?			actions (last page)		nce further detail is known.				

Other please feel free to consider the potential impact on people in any other contexts								
Positive impact n/a		Negative impact		Unequal impact	n/a			
Please evidence the data information you used to su assessment		No other impacts are	e anticipated.					
What opportunities are there to promote equality and inclusion?			What do you still need to find out? Include in actions (last page)					

What are the findings of any consultation with:

Staff?	The restructure proposal will be subject	Residents?	N/A				
All figures quoted are rounded to two decimal places							

20170228-Item5A-AppendixJ



	to consultation with staff and Trade Unions in accordance with statutory requirements		
Voluntary & community sector?	N/A	Partners?	N/A
Other stakeholders?	N/A		



Overall conclusion & future activity

Explain the overall findings of the assessment and reasons for outcome (please choose one):							
1. No inequality, inclusion issues or opportunities to further improve have been identified							
Negative / unequal	2a. Adjustments made						
impact, barriers to inclusion or improvement	2b. Continue as planned	We will continue to adhere to Redundancy and Redeployment policies to ensure consistency, fairness & transparency, and work with partners to ensure reasonable adjustments for disabled employees.					
opportunities identified	2c. Stop and remove						

Detail the actions that are needed as a result of this assessment and how they will help to remove discrimination & harassment, promote equal opportunities and / or encourage good relations:							
Action	Will this help to remove, promote and / or encourage?	Responsible officer	Deadline	How will this be embedded as business as usual?			
On-going review as further detail becomes available.	All		On-going	Will be built into consultation process			
Explore opportunities to work with other organisations and charities to assist in identifying and implementing reasonable adjustments for disabled staff	Remove and promote		On-going	Will form part of individual consultation meeting discussions			
Consider whether any of the proposed redundancies include staff affected by pregnancy or maternity leave	Remove and promote		On-going	Will form part of individual consultation meeting discussions			
Continue to monitor the profile of the workforce through the quarterly workforce information provided to SMT, as part of	Remove and promote	HR&OD/SMT	On-going (next annual report due April 2015)	As part of routine workforce profile reporting arrangements			



routine workforce reporting arrangements		

Approved by Strategic Director: Date:

